

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/806,907	03/22/2004	Jian Bai	10980322-5	2395	
7590 09/20/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Agilent Technologies, Inc.			GURZO, PAUL M		
Intellectual Property Administration L'egal Department, M/S DL429			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 7599			2881		
Loveland, CO	80537-0599		DATE MAIL ED. 00/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	11/
10/806,907	BAI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Paul Gurzo	2881	

	radi Guizo	2001				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completely following time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	affidavit, or other evidence with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d	late of the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. Autory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brid	of will not be entered	hoosuso			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NC		pecause			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beta	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ejected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		compliant Amendmen	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant / monamon	. (1 102 02 1).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>34-50</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	at does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
13. [_] Ottlet						

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The terminal disclaimer filed on 9/16/05 is disapproved because it disclaims two applications in the alternative. A terminal disclaimer properly disclaiming 10/806,808 is needed.

JOHN R. LEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
JECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800